

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |                                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/016,139                  | 12/12/2001                     | Jari Syrjarinne          | 944-001.57          | 4149             |  |
| 4955                        | 7590 12/11/2002                |                          |                     |                  |  |
|                             | ESSOLA VAN DER S               | EXAMINER                 |                     |                  |  |
| ADOLPHSO<br>BRADFORI        | ON, LLP<br>O GREEN BUILDING 5  | MULL, FRED H             |                     |                  |  |
| 755 MAIN S<br>MONROE, 0     | TREET, P O BOX 224<br>CT 06468 |                          | · ART UNIT          |                  |  |
|                             |                                |                          | 3662                |                  |  |
|                             |                                | DATE MAIL ED: 12/11/2002 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Amplicat  | ion N   | Annilaant(a)   | <del>,</del> |
|---|---|---|---|--|--------------|
| • Office Action Summary                                   |   | Application N .   |   | Applicant(s)   |              |
|   |   | 10/016,1  |   | SYRJARINNE ET AL   | /            |
| ~   | Onice Action Summary  | Examine   |   | Art Unit   | Ψ            |
| <del></del> -   | - The MAILING DATE of this communic   | Fred H. N   |   | 3662   | 055          |
| Peri d fo   |   | auon appears in u   | ie cover sneet with th  | correspondence addr  | ess          |
| THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no endication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap | vent, however, may a reply be<br>atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr<br>plication to become ABANDO | timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication.  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed  | d on <u>26 February 2</u>   | 2002 and 07 March 2   | 002 .  |              |
| 2a)□  |   | b) This action is   |   | <del></del>  |              |
| 3)□<br>Disp sitio   | Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic on of Claims   |   |   |  | merits is    |
| -   | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the app   |   |   |  |              |
| 4   | a) Of the above claim(s) is/are   | withdrawn from co   | onsideration.   |  |              |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |  |              |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |              |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |  |              |
|   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | on and/or election  | requirement.  |  |              |
|   | on Papers   |   |   |  |              |
| · _   | he specification is objected to by the l  |   | _   |  |              |
| 10)⊠ T  | he drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2  |   |   | •  |              |
| 14\[  | Applicant may not request that any object   | = :   | •   | • •  |              |
| 11)   | he proposed drawing correction filed of   |   | •   | proved by the Examiner.  |              |
| 12\□ T  | If approved, corrected drawings are requive oath or declaration is objected to b  |   | mice action.  |  |              |
|   | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   | y the Examiner.   |   |  |              |
|   | •••   | or foreign priority w   | ndor 25 II S C  | (a) (d) a= (f)   |              |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo<br>]All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  | or foreign priority u   | nder 35 0.5.C. 9 118  | (a)-(d) or (f).  |              |
|   | <u> </u>  | acumente have be  | on received   |  |              |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority do  |   |   | otion No   |              |
|   | <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority do</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of</li></ul>   |   |   | · <del></del>  |              |
|   | application from the Internat<br>ee the attached detailed Office action   | tional Bureau (PCT  | Rule 17.2(a)).  |  | age          |
| 14)∐ Ad   | knowledgment is made of a claim for   | domestic priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   | e(e) (to a provisional ap  | oplication). |
|   | The translation of the foreign langucknowledgment is made of a claim for  | - '   | •   |  |              |
| Attachment  | s)  |   |   |  |              |
| 2) 🔲 Notice   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper   |   |   | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).<br>al Patent Application (PTO-1   |              |

Application/Control Number: 10/016,139

Art Unit: 3662

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## 35 USC § 112 6th Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the sixth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.

1. Claims 4-8 are interpreted by the examiner as invoking 35 USC 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph (means plus function). See MPEP § 2181-2186.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin in view of Lau '173.

In regard to claims 1, 4, and 7, Lin discloses a GPS IMM, i.e. performing at least a predetermined number of solutions of the state of motion of the receiver using a filter solution based on a mix of models of the motion of the receiver, a mix that is varied from one solution to the next according to a predetermined criteria, and of providing the model mix used in each

• Application/Control Number: 10/016,139

Art Unit: 3662

solution (Sections 2.1 and 3.2; Fig. 1). Lin fails to disclose powering down modules for a period of time.

Lau '173 discloses adopting a partial duty cycle indicating a percentage of time selected receiver components are power on (column 4, line 30 to column 5, line 45).

Lau '173 teaches the desirability of power savings through a standby mode in a typical GPS receiver (column 1, line 47-column 4, line 26). Lin teaches that a typical GPS receiver uses an extended Kalman filter (p. 4-1911, column 2, 1<sup>st</sup> full paragraph). Lin further teaches that to calculate position using his GPS IMM method, the receiver is required to handle double the computational burden of the typical extended Kalman filter method.

Since power saving is desirable on GPS receivers in general, and Lin's GPS receiver requires extra power to perform twice as many calculations, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to equip the receiver of Lin with a known power-saving system, such as the power-saving standby mode of Lau '173. Additionally, Lau '173 teaches an adaptive standby period depending on mode of movement of the receiver (column 9, lines 30-52). Of all the power-saving standby mode methods out there, Lau '173 would particularly stand out because Liu's GPS IMM consists of various modes (models) of motion, and would allow greater power savings when the receiver is in a mode where the standby time can be shorter than that of a single-time-period-standby-mode-receiver. Since Lau '173 teaches an adaptive standby period depending on mode of movement of the receiver, and Liu teaches various modes of movement, it would be obvious to adapt the standby period of the combined receiver based on the mode of movement of the receiver.

Art Unit: 3662

In regard to claims 2-3 and 5-6 Lau '173 further discloses an RF front end and baseband processor module where said selected components include the RF front end or RF front end and baseband processor module (column 4, line 55 to column 5, line 5).

In regard to claim 8, it is well known to provide a computing resource external to the receiver for assisting in system calculations, which also saves mobile battery power. (See below.)

3. The examiner also finds the following references relevant:

Krasner (Method 3, column 4-5), Harrison '218, and Harrison '887, which disclose GPS power-saving standby modes which use external assistance.

Rodal, Lau '594, Welles, and Durboraw, which also disclose GPS power-saving standby modes.

Chen and Syrjarinne, which disclose GPS IMM systems.

Applicant is encouraged to consider these documents in formulating their response (if one is required) to this action, in order to expedite prosecution of this application.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred H. Mull whose telephone number is 703-305-1250. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on 703-360-4171. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Fred H. Mull Examiner Art Unit 3662

FHM

December 4, 2002

THOMAS H. TARCZA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600